NOT DESIGNATED FOR PUBLICATION

STATE OF LOUISIANA

COURT OF APPEAL

FIRST CIRCUIT

2010 CA 1318

JOSEPH ROUSSELL

VERSUS

JAMES LEBLANC, SECRETARY, LOUISIANA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY & CORRECTIONS AND LOUISIANA BOARD OF PAROLE

Judgment Rendered: February 11, 2011

* * * * * * * * * *

On Appeal from the 19th Judicial District Court In and For the Parish of East Baton Rouge Trial Court No. 585,571

Honorable Trudy M. White, Judge Presiding

* * * * * * * * * *

Joseph Roussell St. Gabriel, LA Plaintiff/Appellant In Proper Person

William L. Kline Baton Rouge, LA Counsel for Defendant/Appellee Louisiana Department of Public Safety and Corrections, et al.

* * * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: PARRO, GUIDRY, AND HUGHES, JJ.

HUGHES, J.

This underlying basis of this appeal is a challenge to the validity and constitutionality of LSA-R.S. 15:571.5. Specifically, the appellant challenges the authority of the Department of Public Safety and Corrections to require good time parole supervision after an early release, demanding the release without supervision on the grounds that the statute violates due process, double jeopardy, and contract law. The jurisprudence is clear and has consistently upheld the constitutionality of LSA-R.S. 15:571.5. Frederick v. Ieyoub, 99-0616 (La. App. 1 Cir. 5/12/00), 762 So.2d 144, writ denied, 2000-1811 (La. 4/12/01), 789 So.2d 581 (rejecting substantive due process and equal protection challenges to LSA-R.S. 15:571.5); State v. Duncan, 98-1730 (La. App. 1 Cir. 6/25/99), 738 So.2d 706, 709-10 (holding that loss of previously earned good time credit does not constitute multiple punishment for the same offense and therefore does not constitute double jeopardy); and Bancroft v. Louisiana Department of Corrections, 93-1135 (La. App. 1 Cir. 4/8/94), 635 So.2d 738 (rejecting arguments of duress, ex post facto violation, and breach of contract). Accordingly, the judgment of the district court dismissing appellant's action is affirmed in accordance with URCA Rule 2-All costs of this appeal are assessed against the 16.2(A)(2), (5), and (6). petitioner/appellant, Mr. Joseph Roussell.

AFFIRMED.

2